

Fr. Francis Miller, OFM
P.O. Box 43317
Phoenix, AZ 85080-3317

August 22, 1988
Immaculate Heart
Ora Pro Nobis

Dear Fr. Jones,

Would you be so kind as to explain your beliefs on Sede Vacante. I have heard several contradictory reports of your beliefs on this head.

A letter would be fine.

Thank you for your attention,
Yours in Christ,
Fr. Francis OFM

Letter from the editor

Dear Father Miller:

I just received your letter of August 22 requesting that I kindly explain my beliefs on Sede Vacante. I tried to find your name in the Official Catholic Directory of priests in the United States, but was unable to find it. I will answer your request, Father, but in return I would also request that you tell me something about yourself. When and where were you ordained, and by whom? Are you now a traditional priest?

Sede Vacante, as you know, is a Latin term indicating that the Holy See in Rome is vacant, i.e., that there is no Pope. I believe that there probably is a Pope, but he is not John Paul II. A friend in Texas has been doing extensive research on the possibility that *Cardinal Siri* was legitimately elected Pope in 1963 and again in 1978, and that he has in fact been our Pope-elect all these years "in exile" as it were. I know this may sound rather far out to you, but the evidence to that effect is very convincing. I plan to present some of that evidence in future issues of my newsletter, **THE SANGRE DE CRISTO NEWS-NOTES**.

This Cardinal Siri "thesis" is, I contend, no more shocking or strange than the following contentions held by various faithful Catholics around the world:

1. Masses using the formula "for all" or "for all men" in the consecration of the wine are invalid. St. Thomas Aquinas and the COUNCIL OF TRENT CATECHISM both maintain this.

2. "Pope" Paul VI promulgated heresy in at least two of the Vatican II documents — concerning ecumenism and freedom of conscience. Archbishop Lefebvre and Bishop Castro de Mayer formally maintained such in a public letter to the Synod of Bishops in Rome a couple years ago. No one has, to my knowledge, formally contested or tried to prove their contention false.

3. Either Paul VI in promulgating the above mentioned heresies was not a true Pope at the time, or the Pope is not infallible when thus formally teaching and demanding the

faithful to hold such things concerning faith & morals. Theologians such as Father Noel Barbara of France and Father Saenz y Arriga of Mexico contend that it is more reasonable to maintain that Paul VI was not a true Pope than it is to deny that the Pope is infallible.

4. The Vatican-Moscow Alliance of 1962 is still, from all appearance, in effect. How can the true Church and its head agree not to publically condemn or speak out against atheistic Communism, one of the greatest evils of the twentieth century?

5. For the first time "newly elected Popes" have taken two names, instead of the traditional one name. How can a true and faithful Catholic Pope take the names of the infamous Vatican II "Popes" and endorse, by silence or by overt words and actions, their ruinous actions and antics?

a) The false mysticism of Pope John XXIII in saying that the only reason he was calling an ecumenical council was because he had a private inspiration from God. C.F. Ursula Oxford's books **POPE JOHN'S COUNCIL** and **THE HERESY OF JOHN XXIII**.

b) "Pope" Paul VI who proclaimed that he was the greatest promoter in the world of the cult of man, who said the Communist-Zionist dominated United Nations is the last best hope of peace in the world, who publically wore the symbol of the high priesthood of Freemasonry, who substituted a grotesque anti-christ broken cross staff for his crosier, who gave away at public auction the symbols of his "authority" (ring & tiara), who succeeded in beguiling most of the Catholic world to accept his Novus Ordo Missae in place of the true Mass (probably fulfilling Daniel's prophecies concerning the continual sacrifice being suppressed at the end of the age), who ordered the Catholics in Vietnam to cease resisting Communism (talk to the exiled boat-priest Father Khoat Tran), who ordered all Catholic countries to cease and desist being Catholic countries (i.e. to take all reference to the Catholic Church out of their constitutions and public documents), who U.S. Intelligence states was working secretly with the Communists as far back as 1942, who was dismissed from the Vatican in 1954 by Pope Pius XII "for lack of Faith", and on and on and on ... c.f. the 598-page book by Father Saenz **THE NEW MONTINIAN CHURCH** available from:

I believe this will suffice to give you some idea of my beliefs on Sede Vacante. Those traditional priests who insist on paying allegiance to "Pope" John Paul II, must have their heads buried in the sand. Would any of them dare receive "Holy Communion" from his hands? Would any of them agree with his public stand that other religions are just so many ways to the same salvation? Are they in communion with him, or in fact in ex-communication with him and what he stands for?

Yours truly in Christ,
Father Daniel E. Jones